ASTM Standard for Hit/Miss POD Analysis

Jennifer Brown, Steve James Pratt & Whitney Rocketdyne

MAPOD Working Group Meeting November 19, 2010

Agenda

□ ASTM Standard

- General Information
- Scope & Rationale
- Summary of Practice
- Content Specifics
- □ Relationship to MIL-HDBK-1823A
- □ Your feedback

ASTM Standard

- □ **Title:** Probability of Detection Analysis for Manual Inspection Hit/Miss Data
- **Standard Type:** Practice
- □ ASTM Work Item: WK29631
- ASTM Sponsoring Subcommittee: E07.10 Emerging Technology
- □ **Target Ballot Date:** 1/2011 at the E07.10 subcommittee meeting in Ft. Lauderdale, FL

ASTM Standard

- □ Scope: This practice defines the accepted procedure for performing a statistical analysis on manual inspection hit/miss data to determine the demonstrated probability of detection (POD) for a specific set of test parameters. Topics covered include the standard hit/miss POD curve formulation, validation techniques, and correct interpretation of results.
- Rationale: Currently there is no specification that addresses this subject. The Air Force has released a Handbook with guidelines on how to fabricate POD specimens and conduct/analyze POD studies using mh1823 POD software. The Handbook is not a requirements document and does not describe the general procedure for analyzing manual inspection hit/miss data and verifying the results for correctness regardless of the software being used to perform the analysis.

ASTM Standard

Summary of Practice

- This practice describes stepby-step the process for analyzing manual inspection hit/miss POD demonstration test data, including minimum requirements for validating the resulting POD curve.
- This practice also includes definitions and discussions for results of interest (e.g., a90/95) to ensure correct interpretation of results.



Content Specifics

- □ Specific **information about the POD demonstration test** <u>shall</u> be obtained.
 - Specimen geometry, material, test date, number of inspectors, type of inspection method, any comments from the inspector(s) and test administrator
- □ A **logistic regression model** <u>shall</u> be used to model the relationship between POD and flaw size for manual NDE systems that produce a binary response for a known range of flaw sizes.
- □ **Convergence** <u>shall</u> be verified.
- □ **Informal model diagnostic methods** <u>shall</u> be used at a minimum.
 - Check number of iterations to achieve convergence
 - Visually assess POD curve shape and how well it models the data
 - Visually assess the shape of the confidence bound
 - Effect of outlying data points <u>should</u> be assessed.

Content Specifics

- A note on other POD methods is included in the Appendix:
 - Other methods exist for determining the demonstrated POD for manual inspection hit/miss data. However, caution <u>should</u> be used with methods that yield only a point estimate and not an entire POD curve. With these methods it is not possible to assess the affect that size has on POD.

Relationship to MIL-HDBK-1823A

- □ Guidelines in MIL-HDBK-1823A are the cornerstone of this ASTM Standard
- □ MIL-HDBK-1823A is referenced in the Standard
- Hit/Miss analysis will become an industry standard practice for relating POD to flaw size
- □ The ASTM standard is focused on the step-by-step execution of a Hit/Miss POD analysis

Your Feedback

- □ Comments
- □ Suggestions
- □ Concerns
- Questions