### MODEL ASSISTED POD CRACKS UNDER FASTENER DATA

#### PAUL SWINDELL MIKE BODE FAA AANC 4 FEB 2005

- DEVELOPED A SET OF QUESTIONS AND SENT THEM TO AANC TO REVIEW THEIR DATA SETS
  - 1. EXPERIMENT NAME
  - 2. OBJECTIVE
  - 3. REFERENCES
  - 4. SPLICE GEOMETRY
  - 5. CRACK CHARACTERISTICS
  - 6. NDI METHODS TESTED
  - 7. INSPECTOR INFORMATION
  - 8. RESULTS
  - 9. PROBE CHARACTERIZATION

## AANC Lap Joint POD Data Sets



**Rivet Check Data** 

**Pencil Probe Data** 

Experiment Name: Reliability Assessment at Airline Inspection Facilities, Volumes I, II, & III: An Eddy Current Inspection Reliability Experiment (ECIRE)

<u>Objective:</u> Assess the reliability of field inspections for detecting a crack originating within fastener holes in lap splices using high frequency eddy current inspection methods.

<u>References:</u>

DOT/FAA/CT-92/12, I DOT/FAA/CT-92/12, II DOT/FAA/CT-92/12, III

<u>Splice Geometry:</u> Volume II – Figure 2-1,

Specimen Types: 20X20 inch panels & large aircraft panels

Aircraft Type: Boeing 737

Skin Thickness: 0.040" on 0.040"

Material: new, 2024-T3 alclad aluminum

Fastener: 5/32" aluminum, anodized coating (measured high resistance) Tear Straps: None

Surface Condition: painted and unpainted

**Crack Characteristics:** 

Types of Cracks: essentially through-cracks (front vs. back lengths slightly vary)

How Cracks Grown: tension/tension uniaxial loads with EDM starter notches Crack Size Range: 0.020" to 0.250" length (one at 1.0")

Crack Size Distributions: See Vol. II, Table 2-2 & 2-3 (161 total cracks)

Crack Growth Orientations: horizontal to loads & off-angles (11 & 22 degrees) Crack Density (#/panel): none, low (1-3), and high (7-9)

Cracks per site: 0, 1, & 2

NDI Methods Tested: IAW AD88-22-11

- Methods: Eddy Current Rotating Probe, Sliding Probe, Pencil Probe
- Data Type: hit/miss
- Probe Characterizations: Probes generally no longer available
- Equipment Used: Vol. III Table 4.2

**Inspector Information:** 

- Number of Inspectors: 45 at 9 different facilities (5 at each facility)
- Demographic Data Collected: Yes

Results: POD curves in DOT/FAA/CT-92-12, III – several types of analysis performed Lab 0.9 POD: approximately 0.060" to 0.070" Field 0.9 POD: approximately 0.090" Miss Rate: approximately 0.024 (2.4%) False Call Rates: generally low (<1%, with exceptions) Variation: substantial between inspectors and facilities Significant Performance Factors: procedure implementation & instrument-specific training, surface condition, crack orientation, surface accessibility. Non-Significant Performance Factors: Specimen type, inspection time, shift work, crack density

# IS THIS DATA SUFFICIENT TO SUPPORT THIS EFFORT?

IF NOT, WHAT OTHER DATA IS NEEDED?