IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY **Topology Preserving Fitting of Trimmed NURBS CAD Model to Deformed Solids**

Lijie Liu, Weijun Shen, Zhan Zhang, Stephen D. Holland, Adarsh Krishnamurthy, Qing Li

Introduction

- Digitalization is the process of use virtual representation of physical Optimize the location of control points to fit the The CAD model shows almost a same geometry as the point cloud as we product.
- Geometry deviation exits between the as-designed CAD model and the finished products still exist in many situations.
- NURBS is the de-facto representation of 3D geometry in the CAD system. The **trimmed** NURBS are more powerful in presenting complex geometry.
- Mapping between the as-designed CAD and as-built geometry provides a Discretize the constraints to solve the semi-infinite deeper understanding of manufacturing systems and facilitates improving the quality of the parts. Reverse engineering **does not** create such mapping.
- **Objective** is to propose a systematic framework for gap-free fitting the asdesigned CAD model to the as-built geometry.
- Highlight: 1) Developed the constraints to retain the intersection in the parametric space of the trimmed NURBS surfaces for preserving the topology. 2) Proposed CADConform framework to create such mapping by optimizing the NURBS parameters of as-designed CAD model.



Methodology

- geometry by minimizing the objective function $\min_{\mathbf{P}} L(\mathbf{P}) = \lambda_{CD} L_{CD}(\mathbf{P}) + \lambda_{HD} L_{HD}(\mathbf{P})$
- Preserve the topology and gap-free connection by constraints

$$S_A(C_A(t_A)) = S_B(C_B(t_B))$$

problem

 $S_A(P_A;\mu_A^k,\nu_A^k) = S_B(P_B;\mu_B^k,\nu_B^k)$

Approximate the loss function by calculating the loss between evaluation points from the CAD and the measured point cloud. And solve the constrained



Figure 1: The illustration of constraints for untrimmed (left) and trimmed (right) surface

Center for Nondestructive Evaluation - CNDE

Analysis and Results

$t_A, t_B \in [0,1]$

optimization problem using Projected gradient descent Parametric Boundary Trim Curve $(u_A^{\kappa}, v_A^{\kappa})$



Fitted Solid CAD Model



| Part | Chamfer Distance (%) | Hausdorff Distance (%) | Average Gap (%) |
|---------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Twisted | 0.29 | 3.70 | 5.36×10^{-3} |
| Bent | 0.31 | 4.29 | 6.10×10^{-3} |

Table 1: The results for the trimmed surface case study.

| # of Evaluation Points | Chamfer Distance (%) | Hausdorff Distance (%) | Average Gap (%) |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| 18 | 1.66 | 20.33 | 1.94×10^{-4} |
| 54 | 1.02 | 16.78 | 1.82×10^{-4} |
| 156 | 0.76 | 15.76 | 1.86×10^{-4} |
| 336 | 0.64 | 12.12 | 1.94×10^{-4} |
| 780 | 0.50 | 10.47 | 1.91×10^{-4} |

Table 2: The results for the case study with a different number of evaluation points on the top trimmed NURBS surface.

Conclusion

- based on as-designed CAD model.
- cloud data acquired from different metrologies.



find that normalized chamfer distance is less than 1%.

• The CAD model is gap-free with a consistent topology to the designed bar CAD model, since average gap is negligibly small.

• More evaluation points on the surface is beneficial to the fitting results.



Figure 2: The fitted CAD model for (left) the trimmed twisted bar sample and (right) the trimmed bent bar sample

CADConform: tool for gap-free CAD fitting with a preserved topology

our approach is generalizable because CADConform works for point